

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/894,659	06/28/2001	Hiroki Moriyama	14731	6961
Paul J. Esatto,	7590 01/11/2007 Jr.	EXAMINER		
Scully, Scott, Murphy & Presser  400 Garden City Plaza			JASTRZAB, KRISANNE MARIE	
			PAPER NUMBER	
Curuen City, I	111330		1744	
SHORTENED STATUTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	NTHS	01/11/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/894,659	MORIYAMA, HIROKI	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Krisanne Jastrzab	1744	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addres	ss
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPCHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHUE. cause the application to become ARA	ATION.  Bly be timely filed  S from the mailing date of this community of the community of	
Status				
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final.  vance except for formal matte		erits is
Disposit	ion of Claims	•		
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) ⊠ 8) □ <b>Applicat</b> i 9) □ 10) ⊠	Claim(s) 35-39,43 and 45-49 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 35, 37, 39, 43 and 45-48 is/are rejected to.  Claim(s) 36,38 and 49 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction and are subject to by the Examination Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 28 June 2001 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration of the oath or declaration of the oath or declaration of the oath of the oath or declaration of the oath	rawn from consideration.  cted.  /or election requirement.  ner.  a) \( \sum \) accepted or b) \( \sum \) object the drawing(s) be held in abeyance to its required if the drawing(s).	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) 🗍 a) l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document of:  2. Certified copies of the priority document of:  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prince application from the International Bureaction for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appiority documents have been read (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stag	је
2) D Notic 3) D Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application	

Art Unit: 1744

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Drawings

Figure 5A and 5B should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected
drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action
to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled
"Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct
any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the
applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office
action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 35, 37 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by either Mönch U.S. patent No. 4,730,729 or Dane et al., U.S. patent No. 5, 843,387.

Both Mönch and Dane et al., teach a vessel capable of high temperature, high pressure sterization and the containment of an endoscope means therein. Both provide a tray with an accommodating section for an endoscope, as well as a lid cooperating

Art Unit: 1744

with the tray and having an accommodating section also for secure containment of all portions of an endoscope. See figures 4-6 of Mönch, and figure 7 of Dane et al.

Claims 35, 37, 39, 43 and 45-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Hillebrenner et al., U.S. patent No. 5,534,221.

Hillebrenner et al., teach a sterilization container for endoscope means having a tray bottom closeable by a hinged lid rotatably disposed thereon. The tray and lid both provide means for accommodating all portions of the endoscope with centally located means to prevent contact between sections. The lid is provided with curved walls both opposing and facing the tray. See the figure 4C, 18, 21 and 20.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 36, 38 and 49 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the closest prior art of record fails to teach an outer lid means as recited in claims 36 and 49, nor the second accommodating section of the lid being on the surface thereof opposite that which covers the first accommodating section of the tray.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 35, 37, 39, 43 and 45-48 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 1744

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krisanne Jastrzab whose telephone number is 571-272-1279. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. 6:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys Corcoran can be reached on 571-272-1214. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Krisanne Jastrzab Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744

January 5, 2007